UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Christopher Dean Johnson,)	C/A No. 5:15-cv-01232-MBS-KDW
)	
)	
	Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
)	
RH Mauney, Warden,)	
)	
	Respondent.)	
)	

Petitioner, Christopher Dean Johnson, is a state prisoner who filed this pro se Petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On July 27, 2015, Respondent filed a Return and Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF Nos. 20, 21. Because Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of such motions and of the need for him to file adequate responses. ECF No. 22. Petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order and the extension granted to him, Petitioner has failed to respond to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the Motion and wishes to abandon this action against Respondent. Based on the foregoing, Petitioner is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment by **October 16**, **2015**. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be

recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

September 16, 2015 Florence, South Carolina Kaymani D. West United States Magistrate Judge

Haymai D. Hest